

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,455		01/06/2004	Larry Dancey	3343-23	2360	
23117	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	PHAM, MINH CHAU THI			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			A.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1724	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/751,455	DANCEY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	'S
A SHO WHICH - Extens after Si - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this community  STONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			•	
2a)☐ 1 3)☐ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	- action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	·	rits is
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4. 5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		·
Applicatio	n Papers			
10)∐ Ti A F	he specification is objected to by the Examinel he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objectement drawing sheet(s) including the correction he oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  te the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s	s)			
2)  Notice (3)  Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/04.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

Art Unit: 1724

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology "comprises" and "comprising" are used in the Abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-13, 19-27, 31, 34-37 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eller et al (5,004,483).

Eller et al teach a method of controlling relative humidity of inside an enclosed space (10) comprising the step of drawing outside air to the enclosed space to create an air stream discharging into the enclosed space (col. 7, lines 20-21 and line 68 through col. 8, line 2), sensing the relative humidity of the air in at least one sensing location (col. 8, lines 28-38, col. 9, lines 60-67), means for controlling relative humidity and temperature to maintain a desired relative humidity (col. 8, lines 35-37). Eller et al further teach the step of maintaining the desired relative humidity by raising the temperature (col. 9, lines 6-14).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/751,455

Art Unit: 1724

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6, 14-16, 28, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eller et al (5,004,483), in view of Vross et al (6,022,389).

Claims 6, 14-16, 28, 29 and 32 call for a filtering unit with multiple filtering layers including an HEPA. Vross et al disclose a filtering unit comprising an HEPA filter (48), a carbon filter (49, and a granular activated carbon filter (51) (col. 5, lines 20-34). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt a plurality of filtering layers including an HEPA filter as taught by Vross et al in the apparatus of Eller et al since multiple filtering layers would enhance the filtration efficiency in removing all arrays of contaminants from the air stream passing through.

Claims 7, 17, 18, 30, 33, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eller et al (5,004,483), in view of LaFerriere et al (2004/0020363 A1).

Claims 7, 17, 18, 30, 33, 38 and 39 call for an UV light to kill microorganisms in the air stream. LaFerriere et al disclose an air cleaner with multiple filtering layers (50, 70, paragraphs 0050 and 0051) with an UV light (60) where the UV light can kill microorganisms in the air stream passing through. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an UV light as taught by LaFerriere et al in the filtering apparatus of Eller et al since the UV light would sterilize the filter medium by killing microorganisms in the air passing through.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1724

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724 March 10, 2006